


Disciplinary Procedure – Chief Executive, Section 151 & Monitoring Officer



Consideration and Decision of the IDC

If the II has held a full hearing the IDC will limit their hearing to a consideration of the II's report. They may decide to call witnesses for clarification. The Chief Executive and II should attend this meeting and both parties afforded the opportunity to summarise their case. The hearing should be conducted in accordance with the ACAS code of practice. If the II did not hear the case then the IDC should now afford the Chief Executive the opportunity for a hearing to allow the postholder to challenge the recommendations of the II, call witnesses etc. The same rule regarding costs of representation would apply in this context

Recommendations of the IDC

Following either consideration of the report of the II or a full hearing of the case the IDC will essentially have three options

1. No case to answer
2. Disciplinary action short of dismissal
3. Dismissal

No case to answer

Appropriate communication should be prepared in agreement with the Chief Executive to ensure that as far as possible there is no damage to the postholder's reputation. The IDC should consider reimbursement of any reasonable expenses incurred by the employee.

Action short of dismissal

A decision to take action short of dismissal should be communicated in writing to the Chf Exec with rationale for the decision. The Chf Exec has the right of appeal to the appeals committee against this decision

Recommendation to dismiss

If there is a recommendation to dismiss, the reports of the IDC and the II should then be sent to Independent Panel (IP) for its consideration. The Chief Executive may make written representations to the IP

Composition, role and process of the IP

The IP should be a committee of the Council, appointed under section 102(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, and should comprise only independent persons (at least two) appointed under S28(7) of the Localism Act 2011. Appropriate training should be provided to these Independent members. Both parties should be present or represented* at the meeting. The IP should receive any oral representations from the Chief Executive, in which case it should invite any response on behalf of the IDC to the points made, and may ask questions of either party. The IP should review the decision and prepare a report for Council. This report should contain clear rationale if they disagree with the recommendation to dismiss.

* the IDC should nominate a person to attend on its behalf

Composition, role and process of the IP

The IP should be a committee of the Council, appointed under section 102(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, and should comprise only independent persons (at least two) appointed under S28(7) of the Localism Act 2011. Appropriate training should be provided to these Independent members. Both parties should be present or represented* at the meeting. The IP should receive any oral representations from the Chief Executive, in which case it should invite any response on behalf of the IDC to the points made, and may ask questions of either party. The IP should review the decision and prepare a report for Council. This report should contain clear rationale if they disagree with the recommendation to dismiss.

* the IDC should nominate a person to attend on its behalf

